with- Inflances of their Commission, is a Kind of Argument which never can hould inbroduce Conviction, tho' it may their Acquiescence, whom the Terror of lie in Freater Evils may restrain from resisting, and thus the Despotism of the East vhole may be supported, and the natural Rights of Mankind be trampled under where Feet. The Question of Right, therefore, doth not depend upon Precedents. le is but on the Principles of the Constitution, and hath been put upon it's proper Point already discussed, whether the Colonies are represented or not, in Parliament.

As the Name of Hampden occurred to the Examiner in his Design of

ınner Post-

Mo-

ed to

Con-

le of

nent

re—

olous

hout

d in

from

n of

h of

effed

new

em,

with

oeen

rinal

uti-

ent,

hen lea-

Li-

lwn

ap-

the ful,

by

ces

the I

casting an oblique Resection upon the Colonies, it is surprising he did not recollect, that very numerous Precedents have been applied in the Defence of an arbitrary and oppressive Proceeding, destructive of the essential Principle of English Liberty. But the' meer Acts of Power prove no Right, vet the real Opinion entertained of it, may be inferred from Forbearance; for Mankind are generally so fond of Power, that they are oftener tempted to exercise it beyond the Limits of Justice, than induced to set Bounds to it from the pure Consideration of the Rectitude of Forbearance. Wherefore lif I had deny'd the Principle of this Kind of Reasoning, without shewing the Defects of the artificial painted Precedents which have been produced. I might still very consistently urge, that, the repeated and uniform Requisitions of the English Ministers, as often as Occasions for the single Purpose of Revenue have happened, transmitted to the Colonies to tax Themselves by Provincial Acts, and the Acts of Parliament regulating the Trade of the Plantations, as well as of I: eland, without one Instance, before the Stamp-Act of a Tax imposed by Parliament upon either, for the unmixed Purpose of Revenue prove, that the Impolition of a Tax upon them without their Consent, hath constantly been held to be inconsistent with their Constitutional Rights and Privileges. I have joined Ireland with the Colonies, and presume it will hardly be contended that Ireland, over which the Courts of Justice in England have a superintendant Power, is not, at least, as subject

A most extraordinary Reason hath been given, why the Method of Requisition would have been improper, viz. that "the Sums raised must be " paid into the Exchequer, and if levied by the Provincial Assemblies, the " Parliament would have no Right to enquire into the Expenditure of "them." This is so extremely futile, that it would be almost absurd to bestow a serious Resutation upon it.

to Great-Britain as the Colonies are.

Why must the Sums raised be paid into the Exchequer? If the Intention is to apply them in the Colonies to any internal Purpose, why must they